



# The University of Texas at Austin Dell Medical School

## **MINUTES**

### **ASH Redesign Peer & Family Work Group**

Thursday, May 7, 2020 ▪ 8:00-9:00am ▪ Conference Call

---

#### **Agenda**

1. Welcome
2. Work groups update
3. Review campus 'grey boxes' list
4. Future Work Group Meetings & Feedback Process
5. Close

#### **Attendees**

Karen Ranus, Pat Sumner, Sydney Harris, Jason Johnson, Greg Hansch, Amy Pierce, Debbie Cohen, Jodie Schultz, Sonja Burns, Alison Barajas

#### **Minutes**

##### *Welcome*

A member welcomed the group and explained the update in platform for the meeting to Teams. Co-Chair welcomed the group and thanked the members for participating. Reviewed goal of meeting and the agenda.

##### *Work groups updates*

The group will be provided a summary document for the work groups.

Campus Planning-Partnerships – A member provided an update for this group. He explained the purpose of the group and what was reviewed on their April 6<sup>th</sup> meeting. A timeline was created for future meetings and expected progress. Focus has been on improving language in the RFI to be inclusive of innovative support and services as well as traditional mental health services. A member explained what a phased approach means in regards to the campus and RFI.

Competency Restoration – A member provided an update for this group. She provided update on progress the group is making, including the survey which was recently sent out. Reminded the group they received a link, are encouraged to participate and reinforced that the survey is due by May 11<sup>th</sup>. A member provided an update on current survey responses which include a large representation from people with lived experiences and advocates.

Hospital Clinical Strategies – A member provided an update for this group. A different is the Peer & Family representative for this group but was unable to attend the meeting. Provided an update on progress for the group and shared the preliminary charges they've agreed upon. They will continue working on ways to identify best practices and prioritize recommendations. The group has some technology expertise and would like to take advantage of this expertise to evaluate potential technological advances.

Campus and Continuum Clinical Strategies – A member provided an update for this group. A different is the Peer & Family representative for this group but was unable to attend the meeting. Update included the group is well on their way to providing recommendations based on best practices and research supported strategies. This group is focused on continuing and expanding telemedicine, expanding supported housing, and person-centered, evidence-based clinical workflow processes. The next meeting will discuss tactical approaches for each focus strategies. A member asked if there was conversations around transportation being including in continuity of care services, along with other potential barriers like child care to ensure individuals are able to fully participate in their care. The presenter will bring this concern back to the group. A member shared there has previously been some transitional supporting housing that may be able to provide some insight into successes and barriers. The presenter will try to obtain additional information. The group agreed that the need for a step-down program is essential for being successful back in the community.

History of ASH – A member provided an update for this group. There is no current Peer & Family representative for this work group. They asked the group to reach out to her if anyone is interested in filling this spot. The group toured the ASH campus prior to COVID including building 524, currently a property storage building. This group will focus on preservation, interpretation and collection management. Preservation includes focusing on pest control, environmental conditions, and other ways to ensure measures are taken to preserve appropriate items and documents. They shared the state-by-state comparison that was done regarding psychiatric museums/exhibits for best practices and successful historical preservation. She clarified the purpose and goal of the group and why there is a focus on preserving and sharing its historical importance. The group discussed the desire to ensure the entire history is captured from multiple perspectives. This group is also working with the cultural historical aspect of the campus.

#### *Review Campus 'grey boxes' List*

A member will send a doodle poll out for a specific meeting on this topic prior to June 1<sup>st</sup>. The draft 'grey boxes' list is included on the agenda for review by the group. They will also send out a PDF of the slides for review.

#### *Future Work Group Meetings & Feedback Process*

Co-Chair emphasized that the group wants to cover topics that are relevant and important to the group. Provided a process for how to provide suggestions, feedback, or concerns including directly reaching out to the group chairs (G Hansch and K Ranus). Co-Chair added that this space is intended to allow people to share ideas, concerns, and feedback for the other groups in a productive and impactful manner.

#### *Close*

Co-Chair wrapped up the meeting.

#### Action Items:

- 1) A member will send out a request for an additional meeting prior to June 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2) A member will send out a PDF of the 'grey boxes' items and slides to the group
- 3) A member to research previously attempted transitional supportive housing